Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
California City City of	11.3%	14,120	36%	24.7%	172,346,730	1 C
Livingston City of	12.4%	13,735	32%	19.6%	230,673,560	1 A
El Segundo City of	1.6%	16,654	20%	18.4%	204,789,544	1 A
Riverbank City of	13.8%	23,298	32%	18.2%	136,075,903	1
Yreka, City of	14.3%	7,840	32%	17.7%	79,672,800	1
Blythe City of	15.0%	13,839	32%	17.0%	94,767,200	1 C
Susanville City of	19.1%	8,978	36%	16.9%	91,010,680	1
Hanford City of	13.0%	57,050	28%	15.0%	371,169,572	2 C
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	17.2%	25,160	32%	14.8%	79,178,247	2 C
West Kern Water District	13.6%	21,181	28%	14.4%	413,480,957	2 A
Wasco City of	22.0%	26,159	36%	14.0%	109,604,400	2
Humboldt Community Service District	10.8%	20,032	24%	13.2%	49,618,280	2
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	23.1%	22,221	36%	12.9%	169,328,694	2
Twentynine Palms Water District	15.7%	18,795	28%	12.3%	54,719,096	2
Beverly Hills City of	20.0%	42,157	32%	12.0%	222,959,277	2
Anderson, City of	24.4%	10,050	36%	11.6%	59,056,480	2
Winton Water & Sanitary District	24.5%	8,500	36%	11.5%	35,883,080	2
Indian Wells Valley Water District	24.9%	31,120	36%	11.1%	154,540,440	2 C
Yucaipa Valley Water District	24.9%	44,643	36%	11.1%	238,854,000	2
Indio City of	21.3%	88,411	32%	10.7%	372,748,000	2
Redlands City of	25.3%	77,852	36%	10.7%	543,425,032	2
Imperial, City of	14.4%	18,022	24%	9.6%	43,867,040	2
Norco City of	26.4%	25,891	36%	9.6%	130,203,713	2
Sierra Madre City of	22.5%	11,100	32%	9.5%	37,756,405	2
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	26.7%	43,111	36%	9.3%	210,302,426	2
Mission Springs Water District	18.9%	37,600	28%	9.1%	120,263,681	2 C
West Valley Water District	23.0%	74,081	32%	9.0%	302,964,405	2
Pinedale County Water District	27.1%	16,735	36%	8.9%	44,217,428	2
Coachella Valley Water District	27.2%	318,217	36%	8.8%	1,668,412,746	2

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Ripon City of	27.3%	14,915	36%	8.7%	75,976,040	2
Hesperia Water District City of	23.4%	91,627	32%	8.6%	230,038,073	2
Loma Linda City of	23.7%	23,614	32%	8.3%	78,373,785	2
Vaughn Water Company	27.8%	30,925	36%	8.2%	199,982,360	2
El Centro City of	15.8%	44,311	24%	8.2%	107,736,720	2
Fallbrook Public Utility District	27.9%	34,894	36%	8.1%	99,227,364	2
Calexico City of	12.1%	41,033	20%	7.9%	80,790,000	2
Hi-Desert Water District	8.2%	23,760	16%	7.8%	38,206,862	2
Shafter City of	28.3%	19,100	36%	7.7%	77,800,000	2
Eastern Municipal Water District	20.3%	543,732	28%	7.7%	1,154,296,095	2
Tulare, City of	24.3%	62,363	32%	7.7%	252,264,000	2
Redding City of	28.3%	91,207	36%	7.7%	411,703,600	2
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	20.6%	5,572	28%	7.4%	10,780,469	2
Newport Beach City of	20.8%	66,219	28%	7.2%	188,687,136	2
Hemet City of	24.9%	29,982	32%	7.1%	53,067,759	2 C
Camrosa Water District	24.9%	35,783	32%	7.1%	97,194,964	2
California Water Service Company Visalia	25.0%	139,188	32%	7.0%	408,487,349	2
Modesto, City of	29.1%	220,918	36%	6.9%	772,679,448	2
Desert Water Agency	29.2%	120,636	36%	6.8%	393,847,376	2
Lemoore City of	25.5%	25,281	32%	6.5%	87,582,480	2 A
Kerman, City of	25.5%	14,359	32%	6.5%	42,171,920	2
Bakersfield City of	29.5%	143,385	36%	6.5%	541,819,173	2
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	-2.3%	602	4%	6.3%	5,333,280	2 A
Oildale Mutual Water Company	29.7%	33,163	36%	6.3%	119,587,474	2
Rialto City of	21.7%	54,036	28%	6.3%	107,120,659	2
East Niles Community Service District	29.8%	31,772	36%	6.2%	113,292,024	2
Turlock City of	26.0%	71,181	32%	6.0%	233,167,140	2
Arcadia City of	30.1%	57,639	36%	5.9%	169,136,442	2
Red Bluff City of	30.2%	14,076	36%	5.8%	46,195,301	2

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
San Dieguito Water District	22.3%	37,168	28%	5.7%	57,148,475	2
Soledad, City of	18.3%	16,729	24%	5.7%	21,843,064	2
Sanger City of	22.5%	25,664	28%	5.5%	61,338,120	2
Rancho California Water District	30.7%	168,968	36%	5.3%	386,668,337	2
Clovis City of	30.7%	108,227	36%	5.3%	269,000,616	2
Rowland Water District	14.8%	62,106	20%	5.2%	90,084,886	2
Hawthorne City of	10.9%	44,531	16%	5.1%	32,009,037	2
Nevada Irrigation District	31.0%	44,761	36%	5.0%	102,338,000	3
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	27.1%	38,703	32%	4.9%	100,020,747	3
Rubidoux Community Service District	23.1%	32,000	28%	4.9%	44,154,400	3
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	31.1%	9,600	36%	4.9%	18,547,463	3
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	31.2%	69,929	36%	4.8%	166,211,599	3
Rio Vista, city of	31.2%	8,324	36%	4.8%	22,504,400	3
Exeter City of	31.2%	10,572	36%	4.8%	21,474,259	3
Fortuna City of	19.2%	12,032	24%	4.8%	10,988,000	3
Corcoran, City of	31.3%	24,154	36%	4.7%	56,026,800	3
Casitas Municipal Water District	27.3%	9,603	32%	4.7%	122,502,280	3
Corona City of	23.4%	168,070	28%	4.6%	269,776,307	3
Adelanto City of	15.4%	28,723	20%	4.6%	33,205,540	3 C
Lodi City of Public Works Department	27.4%	63,719	32%	4.6%	132,536,000	3
Pasadena City of	23.6%	166,482	28%	4.4%	239,963,508	3
Valley Water Company	31.6%	9,900	36%	4.4%	29,456,969	3
Palmdale Water District	27.6%	116,258	32%	4.4%	167,352,079	3
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	31.8%	8,948	36%	4.2%	29,704,000	3
Upland City of	31.9%	75,670	36%	4.1%	154,840,170	3
Bakman Water Company	31.9%	16,756	36%	4.1%	31,583,432	3
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	32.1%	75,384	36%	3.9%	163,447,076	3
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	28.2%	96,139	32%	3.8%	168,908,346	3
Vernon City of	4.3%	112	8%	3.7%	40,403,883	3 A

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Fullerton City of	24.3%	138,000	28%	3.7%	173,258,984	3
La Habra City of Public Works	24.4%	63,118	28%	3.6%	58,084,060	3
Yuba City City of	28.4%	68,379	32%	3.6%	109,905,200	3
California-American Water Ventura District	28.5%	62,144	32%	3.5%	101,092,147	3
Covina City of	24.8%	33,300	28%	3.2%	30,425,269	3
Riverside City of	25.0%	299,786	28%	3.0%	357,041,926	3
Folsom City of	29.0%	63,376	32%	3.0%	122,520,137	3
Los Banos, City of	25.2%	37,168	28%	2.8%	42,605,320	3
Ceres City of	25.2%	47,639	28%	2.8%	39,714,960	3
Coachella City of	21.3%	43,917	24%	2.7%	33,110,000	3
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	25.3%	84,838	28%	2.7%	75,325,119	3
Madera County	33.5%	14,326	36%	2.5%	20,709,268	3
Fillmore City of	25.5%	15,222	28%	2.5%	9,921,578	3
Norwalk City of	17.5%	18,361	20%	2.5%	8,192,000	3
Arcata City of	1.6%	18,464	4%	2.4%	7,370,520	3
Cerritos City of	25.6%	49,041	28%	2.4%	31,960,420	3
Cucamonga Valley Water District	29.6%	202,132	32%	2.4%	212,946,814	3
Jurupa Community Service District	25.7%	118,731	28%	2.3%	105,827,967	3
Riverside Highland Water Company	33.8%	14,985	36%	2.2%	15,539,203	3
San Juan Capistrano City of	25.9%	39,047	28%	2.1%	30,566,167	3
California Water Service Company Westlake	33.9%	19,454	36%	2.1%	29,223,659	3
Monrovia City of	26.0%	37,406	28%	2.0%	26,212,566	3
Delano City of	22.1%	54,318	24%	1.9%	32,118,560	3
Lamont Public Utility District	26.1%	20,009	28%	1.9%	13,418,960	3
Carmichael Water District	34.1%	38,354	36%	1.9%	36,886,800	3
Golden State Water Company Cordova	34.3%	43,767	36%	1.7%	51,060,919	3
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	34.4%	21,441	36%	1.6%	11,465,966	3
Brea City of	22.4%	43,328	24%	1.6%	28,433,796	3
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	34.4%	11,013	36%	1.6%	9,320,107	3

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	34.4%	71,868	36%	1.6%	42,149,534	3
Manteca City of	30.5%	75,528	32%	1.5%	42,899,440	3
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	14.5%	25,636	16%	1.5%	5,892,400	3
Reedley City of	22.6%	24,194	24%	1.4%	13,174,400	3
North Tahoe Public Utility District	26.7%	7,500	28%	1.3%	3,272,600	3
Fresno City of	26.8%	520,159	28%	1.2%	301,648,597	3
Placer County Water Agency	30.9%	95,717	32%	1.1%	64,004,945	3
Joshua Basin Water District	26.9%	9,514	28%	1.1%	3,034,107	3
Ontario City of	23.0%	168,777	24%	1.0%	58,822,700	3
Chino City of	23.0%	78,073	24%	1.0%	25,334,688	0
Glendora City of	35.1%	51,463	36%	0.9%	20,141,919	0
Laguna Beach County Water District	23.1%	19,121	24%	0.9%	5,119,647	0
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	27.1%	115,000	28%	0.9%	33,265,129	0
Fair Oaks Water District	35.1%	36,226	36%	0.9%	20,805,874	0
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	31.1%	9,868	32%	0.9%	3,322,040	0
Davis City of	27.1%	68,315	28%	0.9%	19,072,000	0
Monterey Park City of	19.1%	62,183	20%	0.9%	11,662,000	0
Coalinga City of	31.2%	16,529	32%	0.8%	7,155,697	0
Colton, City of	19.2%	47,612	20%	0.8%	13,288,221	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	27.3%	11,407	28%	0.7%	2,430,330	0
Lynwood City of	15.3%	65,965	16%	0.7%	6,576,985	0
Victorville Water District	27.3%	125,900	28%	0.7%	28,756,388	0
Banning City of	31.4%	30,325	32%	0.6%	9,645,202	0
La Verne City of	31.5%	32,228	32%	0.5%	8,141,463	0
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	19.5%	95,870	20%	0.5%	10,029,707	0
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	31.5%	84,351	32%	0.5%	16,480,262	0
San Fernando City of	23.6%	23,645	24%	0.4%	2,372,198	0
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	27.6%	28,549	28%	0.4%	2,792,000	0
California Water Service Company Oroville	27.9%	10,840	28%	0.1%	754,672	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Westminster City of	19.9%	93,322	20%	0.1%	1,003,622	0
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	20.0%	46,300	20%	0.0%	14,989	0
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	32.0%	279,300	32%	0.0%	N/A	0
Alco Water Service	24.2%	29,179	24%	-0.2%	N/A	0
Pismo Beach City of	24.2%	7,861	24%	-0.2%	N/A	0
Perris, City of	24.3%	9,000	24%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Crescent City City of	16.3%	18,290	16%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Walnut Valley Water District	28.4%	113,236	28%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Orange City of	28.4%	139,463	28%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Lomita City of	20.5%	20,463	20%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Big Bear City Community Services District	16.6%	12,411	16%	-0.6%	N/A	0
Santa Paula City of	28.6%	30,009	28%	-0.6%	N/A	0
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	28.6%	32,776	28%	-0.6%	N/A	0
Madera City of	28.7%	63,961	28%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Barstow	24.7%	31,209	24%	-0.7%	N/A	0
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	20.7%	33,124	20%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Pico Water District	24.7%	25,284	24%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Whittier City of	20.8%	49,954	20%	-0.8%	N/A	0
San Jacinto City of	32.8%	15,582	32%	-0.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dominguez	16.8%	142,296	16%	-0.8%	N/A	0 A
Mesa Water District	20.9%	108,000	20%	-0.9%	N/A	0
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	32.9%	29,955	32%	-0.9%	N/A	0
San Juan Water District	37.0%	32,779	36%	-1.0%	N/A	0
El Dorado Irrigation District	29.0%	118,500	28%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Santa Maria City of	17.0%	102,087	16%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Marin Municipal Water District	21.0%	188,200	20%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Orange Vale Water Company	37.1%	14,283	36%	-1.1%	N/A	0
Tustin City of	29.2%	67,700	28%	-1.2%	N/A	0
East Valley Water District	29.2%	101,733	28%	-1.2%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	37.2%	7,254	36%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	33.3%	119,650	32%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Tehachapi, City of	29.3%	8,839	28%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Sonoma City of	29.3%	11,579	28%	-1.3%	N/A	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	33.3%	14,031	32%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	29.3%	133,360	28%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	29.4%	90,687	28%	-1.4%	N/A	0
Quartz Hill Water District	37.4%	20,352	36%	-1.4%	N/A	0
Lee Lake Water District	33.5%	15,543	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Lincoln City of	33.5%	45,837	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
San Bernardino City of	29.5%	198,163	28%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Tracy City of	29.6%	85,296	28%	-1.6%	N/A	0
Chino Hills City of	29.7%	77,596	28%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Manhattan Beach City of	21.7%	35,996	20%	-1.7%	N/A	0
City of Newman Water Department	25.8%	10,668	24%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Montebello Land and Water Company	17.8%	32,219	16%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Sacramento Suburban Water District	33.9%	174,910	32%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Galt City of	33.9%	24,289	32%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Irvine Ranch Water District	18.0%	389,177	16%	-2.0%	N/A	0
Vacaville City of	34.1%	86,893	32%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Artesia	18.1%	47,978	16%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Irrigation District	38.2%	19,839	36%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Long Beach City of	18.2%	474,406	16%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Linda County Water District	34.2%	18,808	32%	-2.2%	N/A	0
El Toro Water District	26.2%	48,628	24%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	18.2%	4,019,619	16%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Alhambra City of	26.2%	85,068	24%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Daly City City of	10.3%	105,810	8%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Southwest	14.3%	271,677	12%	-2.3%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
South Gate City of	14.3%	96,057	12%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Newhall County Water District	30.3%	45,036	28%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	26.4%	178,500	24%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Monte Vista Water District	26.4%	56,039	24%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	30.4%	31,450	28%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Santa Monica City of	22.8%	93,283	20%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	34.8%	207,404	32%	-2.8%	N/A	0
East Orange County Water District	38.8%	3,247	36%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Watsonville City of	22.8%	65,739	20%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Culver City	18.9%	36,215	16%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Moulton Niguel Water District	22.9%	172,068	20%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	15.0%	27,656	12%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Porterville City of	35.0%	61,946	32%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Torrance City of	23.0%	105,296	20%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Pittsburg City of	23.0%	66,183	20%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Stockton City of	31.1%	177,808	28%	-3.1%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	31.2%	209,035	28%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Kingsburg, City of	39.2%	11,685	36%	-3.2%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	31.2%	102,889	28%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Patterson City of	31.3%	21,094	28%	-3.3%	N/A	0
Azusa City of	23.5%	110,000	20%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Rohnert Park City of	19.5%	43,398	16%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Poway City of	35.6%	48,774	32%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Healdsburg City of	27.6%	11,687	24%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Thousand Oaks City of	31.6%	51,609	28%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Fairfield City of	23.6%	107,540	20%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Sacramento City of	31.6%	480,155	28%	-3.6%	N/A	0
South Pasadena City of	31.6%	25,899	28%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	11.7%	65,492	8%	-3.7%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	31.7%	62,602	28%	-3.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Marysville	27.8%	12,241	24%	-3.8%	N/A	0
Estero Municipal Improvement District	15.8%	37,165	12%	-3.8%	N/A	0
Rosamond Community Service District	31.8%	17,700	28%	-3.8%	N/A	0
Camarillo City of	23.8%	47,030	20%	-3.8%	N/A	0
Burbank City of	27.8%	106,084	24%	-3.8%	N/A	0
Downey City of	23.9%	111,931	20%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Paradise Irrigation District	39.9%	26,032	36%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Napa City of	23.9%	86,051	20%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Santa Margarita Water District	27.9%	156,967	24%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Paso Robles City of	31.9%	30,450	28%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	32.0%	16,126	28%	-4.0%	N/A	0
Garden Grove City of	24.2%	177,020	20%	-4.2%	N/A	0
San Clemente City of	28.2%	51,385	24%	-4.2%	N/A	0
Merced City of	40.2%	83,400	36%	-4.2%	N/A	0
Nipomo Community Services District	32.2%	12,512	28%	-4.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	32.3%	9,993	28%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Yorba Linda Water District	40.5%	72,500	36%	-4.5%	N/A	0
Fountain Valley City of	24.5%	59,227	20%	-4.5%	N/A	0
Buena Park City of	24.5%	82,676	20%	-4.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Willows	32.6%	7,125	28%	-4.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Stockton	24.7%	174,112	20%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Arvin Community Services District	32.7%	20,499	28%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	32.7%	54,872	28%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Anaheim City of	24.8%	360,142	20%	-4.8%	N/A	0
Ramona Municipal Water District	32.8%	36,564	28%	-4.8%	N/A	0
Pico Rivera City of	20.8%	39,002	16%	-4.8%	N/A	0
San Gabriel County Water District	24.8%	45,000	20%	-4.8%	N/A	0
Hillsborough Town of	40.8%	10,850	36%	-4.8%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Diablo Water District	32.9%	38,194	28%	-4.9%	N/A	0
Citrus Heights Water District	36.9%	67,333	32%	-4.9%	N/A	0
Discovery Bay Community Services District	37.0%	14,875	32%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Brawley City of	37.0%	27,743	32%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Glendale City of	25.0%	193,300	20%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Huntington Beach City of	25.1%	196,041	20%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Valley Center Municipal Water District	41.1%	25,502	36%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Paramount City of	17.1%	55,051	12%	-5.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	37.2%	70,032	32%	-5.2%	N/A	0
Hollister City of	25.2%	20,486	20%	-5.2%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	29.6%	26,827	24%	-5.6%	N/A	0
Sacramento County Water Agency	37.6%	173,950	32%	-5.6%	N/A	0
Oceanside City of	25.6%	171,183	20%	-5.6%	N/A	0
Sunny Slope Water Company	29.6%	30,611	24%	-5.6%	N/A	0
Antioch City of	33.7%	108,298	28%	-5.7%	N/A	0
Trabuco Canyon Water District	33.7%	13,175	28%	-5.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Placentia	29.8%	46,543	24%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Inglewood City of	17.8%	86,418	12%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	37.8%	12,200	32%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Serrano Water District	41.9%	6,641	36%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Compton City of	13.9%	81,963	8%	-5.9%	N/A	0
North Marin Water District	29.9%	61,299	24%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Oakdale City of	38.2%	22,120	32%	-6.2%	N/A	0
Vallejo City of	22.2%	124,000	16%	-6.2%	N/A	0
Otay Water District	26.3%	217,800	20%	-6.3%	N/A	0
South Coast Water District	30.4%	35,004	24%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	38.4%	31,872	32%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Huntington Park City of	14.5%	64,219	8%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Santa Clara City of	22.6%	120,973	16%	-6.6%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Pomona City of	26.6%	152,419	20%	-6.6%	N/A	0
La Palma City of	26.7%	15,896	20%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Amador Water Agency	30.7%	23,347	24%	-6.7%	N/A	0
San Diego City of	22.8%	1,314,290	16%	-6.8%	N/A	0
Arroyo Grande City of	34.9%	17,121	28%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Morro Bay City of	18.9%	10,234	12%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Helix Water District	26.9%	270,375	20%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Rainbow Municipal Water District	43.0%	19,495	36%	-7.0%	N/A	0 C
Groveland Community Services District	31.1%	3,400	24%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	15.3%	57,934	8%	-7.3%	N/A	0
Valley of the Moon Water District	27.3%	23,478	20%	-7.3%	N/A	0
Vista Irrigation District	27.4%	124,746	20%	-7.4%	N/A	0
Gilroy City of	31.4%	53,509	24%	-7.4%	N/A	0
Mountain House Community Services District	32.4%	14,685	25%	-7.4%	N/A	0
Santa Ana City of	19.4%	332,005	12%	-7.4%	N/A	0
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	15.5%	846,601	8%	-7.5%	N/A	0
El Monte City of	15.5%	22,968	8%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	19.6%	44,466	12%	-7.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Chico District	39.7%	103,012	32%	-7.7%	N/A	0
Oxnard City of	19.8%	196,720	12%	-7.8%	N/A	0
Shasta Lake City of	35.8%	10,293	28%	-7.8%	N/A	0
Palo Alto City of	31.8%	64,403	24%	-7.8%	N/A	0
American Canyon, City of	27.8%	20,080	20%	-7.8%	N/A	0
West Sacramento City of	35.9%	50,836	28%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Windsor, Town of	23.9%	27,486	16%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Elk Grove Water Service	36.0%	44,145	28%	-8.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Selma	40.0%	25,065	32%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Woodland City of	32.2%	57,223	24%	-8.2%	N/A	0
Sunnyslope County Water District	36.3%	19,189	28%	-8.3%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Ukiah City of	28.4%	16,075	20%	-8.4%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Monterey District	16.4%	100,623	8%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Vallecitos Water District	32.5%	95,827	24%	-8.5%	N/A	0
Valley County Water District	24.6%	71,003	16%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Roseville City of	36.6%	122,946	28%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Morgan Hill City of	36.6%	41,779	28%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Orchard Dale Water District	20.7%	25,605	12%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Mid-Peninsula Water District	28.8%	26,730	20%	-8.8%	N/A	0
Olivehurst Public Utility District	44.9%	19,509	36%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Lakewood City of	28.9%	59,081	20%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Petaluma City of	25.0%	61,258	16%	-9.0%	N/A	0
Eureka City of	13.0%	27,052	4%	-9.0%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Springs Water District	25.1%	7,759	16%	-9.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	17.1%	159,054	8%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Springs City of	25.2%	18,199	16%	-9.2%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company West Orange	25.2%	111,904	16%	-9.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company King City	21.4%	14,999	12%	-9.4%	N/A	0
Santa Rosa City of	25.6%	170,974	16%	-9.6%	N/A	0
Brentwood City of	41.9%	56,493	32%	-9.9%	N/A	0
San Luis Obispo City of	22.0%	45,802	12%	-10.0%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Claremont	42.1%	37,160	32%	-10.1%	N/A	0
Escondido City of	30.1%	134,053	20%	-10.1%	N/A	0
Lakeside Water District	30.6%	35,500	20%	-10.6%	N/A	0
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	26.7%	1,390,000	16%	-10.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Salinas District	26.7%	121,546	16%	-10.7%	N/A	0
Greenfield, City of	26.8%	17,898	16%	-10.8%	N/A	0
Crescenta Valley Water District	30.8%	31,966	20%	-10.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	46.9%	3,387	36%	-10.9%	N/A	0
San Jose City of	30.9%	117,576	20%	-10.9%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Lathrop, City of	31.2%	19,831	20%	-11.2%	N/A	0
Valencia Water Company	35.2%	118,224	24%	-11.2%	N/A	0
Contra Costa Water District	39.3%	199,680	28%	-11.3%	N/A	0
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	31.4%	90,101	20%	-11.4%	N/A	0
Port Hueneme City of	19.5%	21,555	8%	-11.5%	N/A	0
Lompoc City of	23.7%	41,541	12%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Scotts Valley Water District	27.7%	10,509	16%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Millbrae City of	27.7%	21,532	16%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Coastside County Water District	19.9%	16,668	8%	-11.9%	N/A	0
Tuolumne Utilities District	36.0%	28,997	24%	-12.0%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Authority	24.0%	191,500	12%	-12.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	28.1%	134,899	16%	-12.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	36.3%	43,351	24%	-12.3%	N/A	0
Carpinteria Valley Water District	32.4%	14,616	20%	-12.4%	N/A	0
Milpitas City of	24.6%	70,817	12%	-12.6%	N/A	0
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	40.7%	52,914	28%	-12.7%	N/A	0
Park Water Company	21.6%	125,784	8%	-13.6%	N/A	0
Seal Beach City of	21.7%	25,561	8%	-13.7%	N/A	0
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	33.8%	6,471	20%	-13.8%	N/A	0
Montecito Water District	46.0%	13,500	32%	-14.0%	N/A	0
San Jose Water Company	34.0%	990,000	20%	-14.0%	N/A	0
Martinez City of	34.1%	35,375	20%	-14.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	22.2%	61,579	8%	-14.2%	N/A	0
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	34.3%	99,199	20%	-14.3%	N/A	0
McKinleyville Community Service District	18.5%	16,900	4%	-14.5%	N/A	0
Benicia City of	35.1%	28,086	20%	-15.1%	N/A	0
Burlingame City of	31.3%	30,282	16%	-15.3%	N/A	0
Sunnyvale City of	31.5%	148,028	16%	-15.5%	N/A	0
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	27.5%	19,000	12%	-15.5%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Mountain View City of	31.8%	76,210	16%	-15.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	27.9%	22,258	12%	-15.9%	N/A	0
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	35.9%	27,588	20%	-15.9%	N/A	0
Alameda County Water District	32.0%	346,000	16%	-16.0%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	32.0%	271,817	16%	-16.0%	N/A	0
Goleta Water District	28.2%	86,946	12%	-16.2%	N/A	0
Mammoth Community Water District	36.2%	16,739	20%	-16.2%	N/A	0
Crestline Village Water District	24.2%	7,584	8%	-16.2%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	36.8%	201,418	20%	-16.8%	N/A	0
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	33.1%	17,989	16%	-17.1%	N/A	0
East Palo Alto, City of	25.2%	29,143	8%	-17.2%	N/A	0
Calaveras County Water District	33.4%	31,750	16%	-17.4%	N/A	0
Bella Vista Water District	53.5%	17,619	36%	-17.5%	N/A	0
Pleasanton City of	41.5%	73,067	24%	-17.5%	N/A	0
San Buenaventura City of	33.8%	113,478	16%	-17.8%	N/A	0
San Bruno City of	25.9%	43,798	8%	-17.9%	N/A	0
Del Oro Water Company	41.9%	9,615	24%	-17.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Livermore	42.4%	58,662	24%	-18.4%	N/A	0
Hayward City of	26.7%	152,889	8%	-18.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	34.9%	3,189	16%	-18.9%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company San Diego District	27.6%	95,358	8%	-19.6%	N/A	0
Redwood City City of	27.6%	87,696	8%	-19.6%	N/A	0
Westborough Water District	28.0%	14,050	8%	-20.0%	N/A	0
Marina Coast Water District	32.6%	32,375	12%	-20.6%	N/A	0
Santa Cruz City of	28.6%	95,224	8%	-20.6%	N/A	0
North Coast County Water District	29.7%	39,000	8%	-21.7%	N/A	0
Soquel Creek Water District	30.4%	39,049	8%	-22.4%	N/A	0
Santa Barbara City of	35.3%	93,091	12%	-23.3%	N/A	0
Grover Beach City of	33.9%	13,156	8%	-25.9%	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

409 suppliers reporting for October; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Oct-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Dublin San Ramon Services District	40.6%	79,951	12%	-28.6%	N/A	0
Cambria Community Services District	37.3%	6,032	8%	-29.3%	N/A	0
Menlo Park City of	45.9%	16,066	16%	-29.9%	N/A	0

### **October 2015 Non-filers**

The following suppliers did not submit October 2015 data by 18 November 2015:

Atwater, City of

Dinuba, City of

South Feather Water and Power Agency

<sup>\*</sup> See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order Data pulled 18 November 2015

# October 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance Summary Table

409 suppliers reporting for October; non-filers on page 15

	# of Suppliers	
1	Greater than 15 percentage points from meeting standard	7
2	Between five and 15 percentage points from meeting standard	58
3	Between one and five percentage points from meeting standard	60
0	Met or within one percentage point from meeting standard	284

Data pulled 18 November 2015 Page 16 of 16